

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,037	10/07/2003	Loren Fond	1331	2723	
7590 02/01/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Frederick Gotha		DONNELLY, JEROME W			
Attorney at Law	<i>!</i>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
80 S. Lake Ave, # 823			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pasadena, CA 91101			3764		
ar ar			DATE MAIL ED. 02/01/200		

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/681,037	FOND, LOREN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) /-/s is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) /s is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Je se	De la companya della companya della companya de la companya della					
Attachment(s)	γγ	(070.415)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/681,037

Art Unit: 3764

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tracy.

The device of claim 1 and 3 is disclosed by the device of Tracy.

The applicant is reminded that a weight lifting curl bar has not been positively claimed.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 6-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shifferaw.

Shifferaw discloses the device of applicant as claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tracy in view of Pinard (FR).

Tracy discloses a device comprising two hinged bodies, and latched means as claimed.

Tracy however does not disclose his bodies as having a triangular configuration when locked together.

Pinard teaches manufacturing a weight plate as having a triangular configuration.

Art Unit: 3764

Given the above teaching the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the weight plates of Tracy in a triangular shape as a means of adding stability to the weights when resting on a surface or in the performance of other exercises using the device of Tracy modified supra, when resting the weight plates on a surface.

Claims 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tracy in view of Bowman et al.

The examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the opening of Tracy in a regular polygon in view of the opening of Bowman et al fig. 5.

Bowman et al also discloses that other shapes may be used.

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tracy in view of Shifferaw.

Tracy discloses the device of claims 2 and 5 substantially as claimed absent the specific latch means.

Shifferaw discloses a latch means comprising a latch member (21, 23) carried by one body and a latch pin (24) carried by another body.

Given the above teaching the examiner notes that it is well known and obvious in the art to manufacture weight plates such as Tracy having latch and pin means as a known and obvious locking means known in the art, in view of the latch 23 and pin 24 of Shifferaw.

Claims 9, 11, 12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shifferaw (figs. 3 and 4) in view of Bowman et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,037

Art Unit: 3764

Shifferaw discloses the device of claim 9 substantially as claimed absent the teaching of an opening having a polygonal configuration. Bowman et al teaches manufacturing weight plate members having openings of a polygonal shape.

Given the above teaching the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the bar and opening of Shifferaw as having a polygonal cross section as one of many obvious shapes in view of Bowman et al (Fig. 5) and for the purposes of adding more stability to the connection between the bar means and weight plate of Shifferaw.

In regard to claims 11, 12, 14 and 15 the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the weight plates of Shifferaw to include a triangular shape and to include a polygonal opening there through in view of the disclosure of Bowman et al, Fig. 3, element 3 and page 3, col. 2 – page 4 col. 1. These shapes are well known in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

Samury Examinar